# Satyaki Chakravarty
FA20 202008 Fall   ECO 250R-17 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation (ECO-250R-17-Fall 2020)
No. of responses = 45

Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction (α = 0.97)

av.=4.19
dev.=0.94

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean   Median

Left pole

Right pole

Scale

Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction

**I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.**

Yes	57.1%	n=42
No	42.9%	

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.

Strongly Disagree — 2.2%   0%   17.8%   42.2%   37.8% — Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.87

The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.

Strongly Disagree — 2.2%   2.2%   6.7%   44.4%   44.4% — Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.27
md=4
dev.=0.86

The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.

Strongly Disagree — 2.2%   4.4%   4.4%   40%   48.9% — Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.29
md=4
dev.=0.92

The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.

Strongly Disagree — 2.2%   6.7%   8.9%   37.8%   44.4% — Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=1

The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.

Strongly Disagree — 2.2%   2.2%   4.4%   46.7%   44.4% — Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.29
md=4
dev.=0.84

The instructor's overall teaching was effective.

Strongly Disagree — 2.2%   6.7%   11.1%   35.6%   44.4% — Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=1.01

The overall quality of this course was high.

Strongly Disagree

6.7%	0%	11.1%	42.2%	40%
1	2	3	4	5

Strongly Agree

n=45
av.=4.09
md=4
dev.=1.06

Histogram for scaled questions

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.13
dev. = 0.87
n = 45

2% 18% 42% 38%

The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.27
dev. = 0.86
n = 45

2% 2% 7% 44% 44%

The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.29
dev. = 0.92
n = 45

2% 4% 4% 40% 49%

The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.16
dev. = 1
n = 45

2% 7% 9% 38% 44%

The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.29
dev. = 0.84
n = 45

2% 2% 4% 47% 44%

The instructor's overall teaching was effective.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.13
dev. = 1.01
n = 45

2% 7% 11% 36% 44%

The overall quality of this course was high.

Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree

av. = 4.09
dev. = 1.06
n = 45

7% 11% 42% 40%

Profile

- -

Subunit:	[ECO] Economics
Name of the instructor:	Satyaki Chakravarty
Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	202008 Fall ECO 250R-17 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation

Values used in the profile line: Mean

- -

Evaluation of Instruction

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.13	md=4.00	dev.=0.87
The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.27	md=4.00	dev.=0.86
The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.29	md=4.00	dev.=0.92
The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.16	md=4.00	dev.=1.00
The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.29	md=4.00	dev.=0.84
The instructor's overall teaching was effective.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.13	md=4.00	dev.=1.01
The overall quality of this course was high.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree	n=45	av.=4.09	md=4.00	dev.=1.06

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit:	[ECO] Economics
Name of the instructor:	Satyaki Chakravarty
Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	202008 Fall ECO 250R-17 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation

Evaluation of Instruction   +├────┼────┼────■──┤ -   av.=4.19   dev.=0.94

Comments Report

Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

- Satyaki Chakravarty (2 Counts)

- Chakravarty

- Profesor Chakravarty

- Professor Hull

- Satyaki

- Satyaki Chakracarty

- Satyaki Chakrarty

- Satyaki Chakravarty (34 Counts)

- Satyaki Chakravarty

- Satyaki chakravarty

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- Always was willing to work with students to better understand the material.

- Had very few interactions. All work was done via Cengage with the exception of "in-class homework" which consisted of a word document to fill out and return with no direction. In previous online classes, instructors recorded their teaching of in-person students or prepared a short video. This is the first time I have felt I was truly on my own. We have had 4 exam reviews via zoom. The first two were not helpful - seemed like the two people who were just through the motions. The last two were much better. I feel this class needs a little more interaction.

- He is an excellent educator. He facilitated the class efficiently. He ensured we understood the material, and encouraged communication. Thank you.

- I just appreciated how much he reached out to me.

- In comparing this course to other online courses, considering the level of difficulty, for me, with some of the contexts, I believe that there should be more instructor/student interaction. For example, weekly videos to better explain the concepts that were given in the text and in the other course materials. While the instructor was always available to answer questions, the material in the course did not make it easy to understand in order to be able to ask the right questions.

- N/A

- Professor Chakravarty is a great teacher. He was fast at responding to emails and always willing to help. He was good at giving constructive feedback on the Classroom Assignments and made sure all formulas were correct to help in the MindTap Homework.

- Professor Chakravarty was so helpful to me in this course. The content was challenging, but he made tremendous strides to respond to me individually and help me with homework when I wasn't able to figure it out. I can tell that he has a passion for the content and for teaching as well.

- Satyaki Chakravarty was extremely accomodating and responded to any questions or concerns that I had quickly. I even asked about tutoring and he created a Zoom tutoring session for me. He provided assignment feedback when something was done wrong and went above and beyond for students. You can tell that he genuinely wants us all to do well in the course.

- Terrible teacher

- The instructor posts in the learning area a concise course content that is helpful in understanding. There is also the learning area checking that allows to check students' understanding
  I particulary like the feedback on my assignments to let me know what I missed and explain how to solve it. That is constructive. The instructor responds to inquiries in a timely manner

- There is not much to say, because of COVID-19 this course had very minimal interaction with the instructor. I maybe saw him one time for a mid-term exam. He seems like a nice guy who legitimately wants people to learn, and I understand the sheer amount of students mixed with the COVID-19 crisis has not made that easy.

- This is another self-taught class so I can not say much about how effective the professor was. Mr. Chakravarty was provided assistance when needed.

- This professor was awesome!! He never once made me feel a question was stupid. He answered every email I sent. He offered late office hours since I work full time. The baby was actually crying one day on our zoom call and he said "Go ahead and take care of the baby, I will wait"! Class ACT this guy!!!!!!!! He never rushed me off of a meeting even if it ran past the allotted time. Always told me if I couldn't get it after trying again to set up another meeting. Please keep him around!!! Can't speak highly enough about him!!

- great professor, extremely helpful

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- I appreciated the one deadline instead of having to check multiple times throughout the week/course.

- I hope to take more of his courses in the future!

- It would be helpful to have recorded lectures to complement the textbook and Learning Area.

- My favorite course of this semester! The Learning Area Modules for this specific course was highly educational and made learning this subject matter easier. Don't change it.

- N/A

- The Zoom meetings/videos that were held during Exam reviews would be a good idea to have throughout the course. This will allow students who need additional assistance to either attend the meetings or review the videos to gain a better understanding and get back to the instructors with questions.

- This class was laid out very well. It wasn't your normal "just read the book" online class. It's a hard class, but you can tell they have worked hard to break it down so that everyone can succeed.

- This course should not be offered through distance learning, it feels often that the student is completely on their own to learn the course. There is a huge disconnect from the learning area (which is like our lecture) to what we see in Cengage and on our exams. I think having an instructor demonstrate the formulas and the situational nuance would go much further than being left on your own to do it. I feel as though I have learned literally nothing about statistics and I don't think it will help me further in my studies.

- This was a truly difficult course with challenging concepts.   I didn't like the Cengage labs and texts that much. They didn't align as well with our Canvas learning material - there were concepts and terms in Cengage that were not mirrored in Canvas. I did enjoy and learn a lot from the Excel tutorials though.