


Satyaki Chakravarty
 SP21 202101 Spring ECO 250R-02 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation (ECO-250R-02-Spring 2021)
 No. of responses = 13



Overall indicators

Evaluation of Instruction ($\alpha = 0.89$)




av.=4.26
dev.=0.85

Survey Results

Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses
 av.=Mean
 md=Median
 dev.=Std. Dev.
 ab.=Abstention

Evaluation of Instruction

I wish to nominate this instructor for the Bryan School teaching award.




The overall quality of this course was high.




Histogram for scaled questions


The instructor was well-prepared for classes.




The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.




The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.




The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.




The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.



The instructor's overall teaching was effective.



The overall quality of this course was high.



Profile

Subunit: [ECO] Economics
 Name of the instructor: Satyaki Chakravarty
 Name of the course: 202101 Spring ECO 250R-02 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Evaluation of Instruction

The instructor was well-prepared for classes.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.38	md=4.00	dev.=0.65
The instructor facilitated mutual respect among students and faculty.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.46	md=5.00	dev.=0.66
The instructor encouraged student/faculty communication and/or contact.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.46	md=5.00	dev.=0.66
The instructional materials used in the course were effective learning tools.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.08	md=4.00	dev.=1.19
The instructor's grading procedures in this course were fair.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.38	md=4.00	dev.=0.65
The instructor's overall teaching was effective.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.23	md=4.00	dev.=0.83
The overall quality of this course was high.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=3.85	md=4.00	dev.=1.28

Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: [ECO] Economics
Name of the instructor: Satyaki Chakravarty
Name of the course: 202101 Spring ECO 250R-02 Econ/Bus Stats I Recitation
(Name of the survey)

Evaluation of Instruction



av.=4.26

dev.=0.85

Comments Report

Evaluation of Instruction

What is the name of the instructor you are evaluating?

- Satyaki Chakravarty
- Chakravarty
- Satyaki Chakravarty (9 Counts)

Use this space to leave any comments about the instructor.

- He was a wonderful TA and was very helpful and respectful to us students. He did a great job of explaining material and was always very attentive and helpful.
- Satyaki was a great instructor for ECO 250. He made sure that all the students had understood the course material and never hesitated to help and make sure that we understood. He always responded very quickly to emails and went above and beyond for me when I had a question on a piece of material and even followed up later on to make sure that I understood how he explained the concept.
- The instructor for this course was well prepared and put effort into helping students during the class time. I appreciate the help he tried to give me and other students during this limited time.
- Was very organized and prepared for class

Use this space to leave any comments about the course.

- These recitation courses where we do group work DO NOT WORK online. Nobody ever is willing to work together and it just ends up with me sitting here doing the work all by myself because nobody else will pitch in. Cameras are off and everyone is muted and it's awkward when he comes to check on us because everyone just sits there in silence and so I usually had to be the one to speak up and talk to him while everyone else did whatever it was they were doing.
- Though the instructor is the teacher for the 250R class the class is based on the classroom assignment and the people in your group. The one time a week you meet for 250R is pointless in this class, because no one participates. The problems with this course go back to the how the entire ECO 250 course is ran. I recommend using this one time a week to do the classroom assignment as an entire class instead of splitting up into groups. If the instructor works through the classroom assignment question by question and asking students questions I feel that people would participate and leave the class understanding.
- Wasn't too difficult